

## WELCOME TO OUR NEW OFFICERS!



### Our New Party Officers!

We want to extend a hearty welcome to all our new county officers as they join our endeavor to forge a rebirth of liberty in our State and nation!

We look forward to their input and efforts towards providing an energetic and viable platform from which patriotic candidates can build successful campaigns against the corruption that now pervades our state and nation!

#### Region 6 Coordinator

Lonnie Sheen

#### Columbiana County Chair

Lonnie Sheen

#### Franklin County Chair

Jim Watson

#### Hamilton County Chair

Joe Rutter

#### Licking County Chair

Michael Blowers

#### Montgomery County Chair

Joseph Johnston

#### Vice-Chair

William "Billy" Lewis

#### Vinton County Chair

Judy Copp

#### Media Director

Susan Sanders

#### Twitter Coordinator

Britta Gustafson



### From Our CPO Chairman Don Shrader

"A major reason, in my mind, why someone would favor the Constitution

Party over the two major parties has both long and short answers.

The short answer is that the Constitution Party is the ONLY political party standing for, and advocating a true return to limited Federal Government in accordance with the principles of government given to us by our founding fathers and embodied in our Constitution as well as the Declaration of Independence, keeping in mind that the Declaration is a principal guiding document while the Constitution is supposed to be the law of the land.

That is why there can be pro-life people in the Libertarian Party, because that is their personal choice; they just are not at liberty to enforce their views on others. They must be fully accepting under the Libertarian banner to be accepting of those who hold to any form of abortion - both are personal choices under liberty and neither should condemn the other for their view.

The Constitution Party believes there are some moral absolutes that are guaranteed by the Constitution, and one of them is that no State or entity may "deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." This includes the unborn because we believe that life begins at conception."

Some parties, like the

Libertarians and other similar parties, want limited Government - and the Constitution Party even agrees with them on many issues, however we also believe some moral limits need to be codified whereas the Libertarians basically believe in virtually no government restrictions, especially regarding the choices of the individual.

### Constitutionalism

Constitutionalism is the principle that government can and should be legally limited in its powers, and that its authority depends on enforcing these limitations. Associated with the political theories of John Locke and the founders of the American republic, constitutionalism is the "Rule of Law."

### Why I Didn't Write About Gosnell's Trial - And Why I Should Have By Megan McArdle

(Excerpts)

(CPO note: A Pro-Abortion Reporter)

*"Infant beheadings. Severed baby feet in jars. A child screaming after it was delivered alive during an abortion procedure. Haven't heard about these sickening accusations? The revolting revelations of Gosnell's former staff, who have been testifying to what they witnessed and did during late-term abortions, should shock anyone with a heart. - Kirsten Powers*

I'll tell you why I haven't covered



this trial. To start, it makes me ill. I am someone who cringes when I hear a description of a sprained ankle. But I understand why my readers suspect me, and other pro-choice mainstream journalists, of being selective - of not wanting to cover the story because it showcased the ugliest possibilities of abortion rights. The truth is that most of us tend to be less interested in sick-making stories - if the sick-making was done by "our side."

Gosnell was not some inevitable result of legal abortion. While legal abortion was not sufficient to create the horrors in Philadelphia, it was necessary. Gosnell was able to harm so many women and babies because he operated in the open. It suggests that sometimes, late term aborted fetuses are delivered alive. Worse, it hints at what we might be doing inside the womb to ensure that the other ones aren't. I don't think that this affected my thinking, since I don't support late-term abortions of viable infants unless the mother's life is in danger.

But I understand why pro-lifers have their suspicions. I could also offer Kliff's defense, that this is a local crime. But George Tiller's murder was also a local crime. There was no "national policy issue" involved: murder is a matter for state law. And there was no real question that if Tiller's murderer was caught, he was going to be tried and convicted for the killing. Nonetheless, lots of national journalists - including Sarah Kliff, for Newsweek - covered the killing and discussed what it meant for abortion provision nationwide.

If I think about it for a moment, there are obviously lots of policy implications of Gosnell's baby charnel house. How the hell did this clinic

### *The 7 Principles of the Constitution Party*

**Life:** *For all human beings, from conception to natural death.*

**Liberty:** *Freedom of conscience and actions for the self-governed individual.*

**Family:** *One husband and one wife with their children as divinely instituted.*

**Property:** *Each individual's right to own and steward personal property without government burden.*

**Constitution and Bill of Rights:** *Interpreted according to the actual intent of the Founding Fathers.*

**States' Rights:** *Everything not specifically delegated by the Constitution to the federal government is reserved for the state and local jurisdictions.*

**American Sovereignty:** *American government committed to the protection of the borders, trade, and common defense of Americans, and not entangled in foreign alliance.*

operate for seventeen years without health inspectors discovering his brutal crimes? Are there major holes in our



*Empty Press Seats At Gosnell Trial*

medical regulatory system? More to the point, are those holes created, in part, by the pressure to go easy on abortion clinics, or more charitably, the fear of getting tangled in a hot-button political issue? These have clear implications for abortion access, and abortion politics.

After all, when ostensibly neutral local regulations threaten to restrict abortion access the national media thinks that this is worthy of remark. If local governments are being too lax on abortion clinics, surely that is also worthy of note. Moreover, surely those of us who are pro-choice must worry that this will restrict access to abortion: that a crackdown on abortion clinics will follow, with onerous white-glove inspections; that a revolted public will demand more restrictions on late-term abortions. Article at: [www.thedailybeast.com](http://www.thedailybeast.com)

**AMENDMENT II**  
**"A WELL REGULATED MILITIA,  
 BEING NECESSARY TO THE  
 SECURITY OF A FREE STATE, THE  
 RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO KEEP  
 AND BEAR ARMS,  
 SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED."**