

Voting for the lesser of two evils!

- (1) God does not want us to be in league with evil! The lesser of two evils is still an evil.
- (2) If we vote for a bad candidate, we will be held partly responsible for the harm done by that candidate. This is true even if our sole intent was to defeat a worse candidate. One evil does not justify supporting another.
- (3) Supporting the lesser of two evils tells politicians that it is acceptable for them to do likewise. If we won't stand for what is right and take the necessary hits, how can we demand it of our politicians?
- (4) Pragmatic voting always results in a downward trend in the quality of candidates. Politicians won't change if they know we'll vote for them anyway. Good candidates seldom receive the support they need to become viable.
- (5) The argument that the lesser of two evils will buy us time to get our act together and field better candidates is wishful thinking. What invariably happens is people again become apathetic, and the greater evil makes an even stronger comeback. (Obama following Bill Clinton after George Bush)

Constitution Party of Ohio

PO Box 3
New Lebanon, Oh 45345

(330) 400-3444

Voting for the lesser of two evils!

- (1) God does not want us to be in league with evil! The lesser of two evils is still an evil.
- (2) If we vote for a bad candidate, we will be held partly responsible for the harm done by that candidate. This is true even if our sole intent was to defeat a worse candidate. One evil does not justify supporting another.
- (3) Supporting the lesser of two evils tells politicians that it is acceptable for them to do likewise. If we won't stand for what is right and take the necessary hits, how can we demand it of our politicians?
- (4) Pragmatic voting always results in a downward trend in the quality of candidates. Politicians won't change if they know we'll vote for them anyway. Good candidates seldom receive the support they need to become viable.
- (5) The argument that the lesser of two evils will buy us time to get our act together and field better candidates is wishful thinking. What invariably happens is people again become apathetic, and the greater evil makes an even stronger comeback. (Obama following Bill Clinton after George Bush)

Constitution Party of Ohio

PO Box 3
New Lebanon, Oh 45345

(330) 400-3444

Voting for the lesser of two evils!

- (1) God does not want us to be in league with evil! The lesser of two evils is still an evil.
- (2) If we vote for a bad candidate, we will be held partly responsible for the harm done by that candidate. This is true even if our sole intent was to defeat a worse candidate. One evil does not justify supporting another.
- (3) Supporting the lesser of two evils tells politicians that it is acceptable for them to do likewise. If we won't stand for what is right and take the necessary hits, how can we demand it of our politicians?
- (4) Pragmatic voting always results in a downward trend in the quality of candidates. Politicians won't change if they know we'll vote for them anyway. Good candidates seldom receive the support they need to become viable.
- (5) The argument that the lesser of two evils will buy us time to get our act together and field better candidates is wishful thinking. What invariably happens is people again become apathetic, and the greater evil makes an even stronger comeback. (Obama following Bill Clinton after George Bush)

Constitution Party of Ohio

PO Box 3
New Lebanon, Oh 45345

(330) 400-3444