

# Peace-loving Muslims?

*By Don Shrader*  
*Constitution Party of Ohio*

---



Liberty Works Radio recently published an article stating, We are told again and again by 'experts' and 'talking heads' that Islam is a religion of peace and that the vast majority of Muslims just want to live in peace. Later the article contends that The fact is that the fanatics rule Islam at this moment in history. It is the fanatics who march. It is the fanatics who wage any one of 50 shooting wars worldwide.

I contend unabashedly that the term "Peace-loving Muslims" is an oxymoron. The only "peace loving" Muslims are the liberals, similar to liberal Christians. They practice their brand of religion but they are not aligned with the true doctrines of the faith. It is the "fanatics" in Islam that understand their religion. The only seeming contradiction to this position is that the history of Islam's takeover of one nation after another is that they go into a non-Islamic nation disguised as "a peace loving religion," truly a wolf in sheep's clothing! Once established in certain regions of such nation, they set up enclaves and then petition the Government to allow them to judge "their" people within their Islamic enclave by Sharia Law. The enclaves continue to grow and become more numerous across such nation. Once they populate that nation with a sufficient number of Muslims to take over the country, either by election or by force, they don't care which particularly and probably prefer the latter, then they take that country over and implement Sharia Law nationally. It is the methodology they have employed time and again in what is now known as the Arab States, and is currently being used throughout Europe to take over Turkey and other Eastern European nations and is a growing "problem" in Western European nations like France and Spain, and even England. Former Jihadists like Walid Shoebat continue to try to warn us regarding these tactics. As a rebuttal to this strategy by the Muslims, in the U.S. state of Georgia, their legislature just recently passed a law declaring that Sharia Law would not be used in the courts in Georgia and that only Georgia and U.S. Law would be allowed.

The Liberty Works Radio article compares Islamic fanaticism to Nazi fanatics of WWII. In so doing, it quotes Dr. Emanuel Tanya, a well-known and well-respected psychiatrist, a man, whose family was German aristocracy prior to World War II. Dr. Tanya says of the Nazis, Very few people were true Nazis but many enjoyed the return of German pride, and many more were too busy to care. I was one of those who just thought the Nazis were a bunch of fools. So, the majority just sat back and let it all happen. Then, before we knew it, they owned us, and we had lost control, and the end of the world had come. (Sounds familiar!)

I agree that the actual Nazi Party represented a small but dominate segment of the German population. However, I also contend that many Germans went along with the Nazi leadership, and especially Hitler, because, as good loyal Germans, they were doing what was necessary to protect their country and their fellow citizens from further harm by outsiders, the same motivation as most people who are working for the TSA in the USA today. They really think they are doing good by protecting the general population from acts of terrorism, never realizing that their actions are totally destroying our freedoms and our liberties. They have totally devastated the Constitution, the document that was to be the banner for protecting our unalienable rights! As is being

demonstrated in Congress this very week, both major Parties are totally culpable in continuing to fund the DHS, the most unconstitutional agency of all the unconstitutional and inappropriate federal agencies in existence today brought to us primarily by George Bush, John Boehner, and the rest of the Republican Party including those that now would align themselves with the TEA Party. As the funding of the DHS is currently being debated in Congress, there are a number of Republicans who are opposing passing further funding of the DHS, not because they see it as an unconstitutional agency, but because they want to undo Obama's Executive Order regarding the unfettered acceptance of illegal aliens, i.e. invaders crossing our nation's borders. I support them in that but wish their opposition to DHS funding was based upon the unconstitutionality of the agency itself and not because of some spurious issue that will eventually be totally overridden by the mainstream Republicans. As Democrat Mary Landrieu stated earlier today regarding those Republicans who are opposing the passage of temporary or longer term funding of the DHS, "Why should we punish the volunteer firefighters because some Republicans are at odds with the President over a totally unrelated issue?" I did not know that volunteer firefighters were funded by the DHS. Wow!